RECEIVED

09 SEP 23 PM 4: 10

HEARINGS CLERK
EPA -- REGION 10

# BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Respondent.	j
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, GRACE FISH HATCHERY Caribou County, Idaho	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER
In the Matter of:	) ) ) DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0172

# I. STATUTORY AUTHORITY

- 1.1. This Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B).
- 1.2. The Administrator has delegated the authority to issue the Final Order contained in Part V of this CAFO to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 10, who in turn has redelegated this authority to the Regional Judicial Officer in EPA Region 10.
- 1.3. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(1) and (g)(2)(B), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1) and (g)(2)(B), an in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties," 40 C.F.R. Part 22, EPA hereby issues, and the Idaho Department of Fish and Game ("Respondent") hereby agrees to issuance of, the Final Order contained in Part V of this CAFO.

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - I DOCKET NO. CWA-2009-0172

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037

7

5

# II. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 2.1. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.45(b), issuance of this CAFO commences this proceeding which will conclude when the Final Order contained in Part V of this CAFO becomes effective.
- 2.2. Part III of this CAFO contains a concise statement of the factual basis for the alleged violations of the CWA, together with specific provisions of the CWA that Respondent is alleged to have violated.

### III. ALLEGATIONS

- 3.1. CWA Section 301(a), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits the "discharge of any pollutant by any person" except as authorized by a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit issued pursuant to CWA Section 402, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. Section 502(12) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(12), defines the term "discharge of a pollutant" to include "any addition of any pollutant to navigable waters from any point source." "Navigable waters" are defined as "waters of the United States." 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7).
- 3.2. Respondent is a political subdivision of the State and thus is a "person" as defined in Section 502(5) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).
- 3.3. Respondent owns and operates the Grace Fish Hatchery ("Facility") located in Caribou County at Latitude N 42° 28.346', Longitude W 111° 583'. The Facility is a fish hatchery that primarily raises rainbow trout.
- 3.4. Prior to December 1, 2007, Respondent was authorized to discharge effluent from the Facility pursuant to the General NPDES Permit for Aquaculture Facilities in Idaho ("Expired Permit"). The Facility was given NPDES Tracking No. 1DG130035.
- 3.5. Since December 1, 2007, Respondent has been authorized to discharge effluent from the Facility pursuant to the General NPDES Permit for Aquaculture Facilities in Idaho

Subject to Wasteload Allocations under Selected Total Maximum Daily Loads ("Current Permit"). The Facility was given the same NPDES tracking number, IDG130035.

- 3.6. The Facility, which was under Respondent's control at all times relevant to this action, discharges effluent containing pollutants from Outfall 001 into Whiskey Creek. Outfall 001 is "point source" within the meaning of Section 502(14) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 3.7. Whiskey Creek flows into the Bear River. The Bear River is an interstate water which is susceptible to use in interstate and foreign commerce, and thus is a "navigable water" as defined in Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7), and is a "water of the United States" as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 122.2. Therefore, Whiskey Creek is a "navigable water" as defined in Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7), and is a "water of the United States" as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 3.8. Section VI.C.2 of the Expired Permit established a monthly average concentration effluent limitation for total suspended solids ("TSS") in discharges from the Facility of 5 mg/L. When a permittee exceeds a monthly average effluent limit, the permittee is deemed to be in violation of that effluent limit each of the days of the month in which the violations occurred. In January 2004, Respondent violated the monthly average concentration effluent limit for TSS, constituting 31 violations.
- 3.9. Section VI.C.2 of the Expired Permit established a daily maximum concentration effluent limit for TSS in discharges from the Facility of 10 mg/L. On January 21, 2004, Respondent violated the daily maximum concentration effluent limit for TSS, constituting one violation.
- 3.10. Section H.B.2.e of the Current Permit prohibits the discharge of "floating, suspended or submerged matter, including dead fish, in amounts causing nuisance or objectionable condition or that may impair designated beneficial uses in the receiving water."

On December 11, 2007, there was a fish kill at the Facility which resulted in the discharge of approximately 130 pounds of dead fish. The discharge of dead fish caused a nuisance and/or objectionable condition in violation of Section II.B.2.c of the Current Permit.

- 3.11. Section IV.A.1.a of the Current Permit requires the permittee to apply all drugs, pesticides and other chemicals in accordance with label directions. On December 11, 2007, Respondent failed to apply a disinfectant in accordance with the label directions which resulted in an accidental spill of the disinfectant into Whiskey Creek in violation of Section IV.A.1.a of the Current Permit.
- 3.12. Under Section 309(g)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), EPA may assess an administrative penalty when EPA finds that "any person ... has violated any permit condition or limitation ... in a permit issued" pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.

  Consequently, under Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), Respondent is liable for the administrative assessment of civil penalties for violations at the Facility in an amount not to exceed \$16,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, up to a maximum of \$177,500.

## IV. CONSENT AGREEMENT

- 4.1. Respondent stipulates that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter alleged herein.
- Respondent expressly waives any right to contest the allegations and to appeal the
   Final Order set forth in Part V, below.
- Respondent neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained in Part III of this CAFO.
- 4.4. As required under CWA Section 309(g)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), EPA has taken into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the alleged violations as well

- 4.8. If Respondent fails to pay the penalty assessed by this CAFO in full by the due date set forth in Paragraph 4.5, above, the entire unpaid balance of penalty and accrued interest shall become immediately due and owing. If Respondent fails to pay the penalty assessed, Respondent may be subject to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty under the CWA, together with interest, fees, costs, and additional penalties described below. In any collection action, the validity, amount and appropriateness of the penalty shall not be subject to review.
- 4.9. If Respondent fails to pay any portion of the penalty assessed by this CAFO in full by the due date set forth in Paragraph 4.5, above, Respondent shall be responsible for payment of the amounts described below:
  - 4.9.1. Interest. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(9), any unpaid portion of the assessed penalty shall bear interest at a rate established by the Secretary of Treasury pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717(a)(1) from the effective date of the Final Order set forth in Part V, below, provided, however, that no interest shall be payable on any portion of the assessed penalty that is paid within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Final Order.
  - 4.9.2. Attorneys Fees, Collection Costs, Nonpayment Penalty. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(9), if Respondent fails to pay on a timely basis the amount of the penalty set forth in Paragraph 4.4, above, Respondent shall pay (in addition to any assessed penalty and interest) attorneys fees and costs for collection proceedings and a quarterly nonpayment penalty for each quarter during which such failure persists. Such nonpayment penalty shall be in an amount equal to twenty percent (20%) of the aggregate amount of Respondents' penalties and nonpayment penalties which are unpaid as of the beginning of such quarter.

#### V. `` FINAL ORDER

- 5.1. The terms of the foregoing Consent Agreement are hereby ratified and incorporated by reference into this Final Order. Respondent is hereby ordered to comply with the foregoing terms of the settlement.
- 5.2. This CAFO shall constitute a settlement by EPA of all claims for civil penalties pursuant to the CWA for the violations alleged in Part III, above. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.31(a), nothing in this CAFO shall affect the right of EPA or the United States to pursue appropriate injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions for any violations of law. This CAFO does not waive, extinguish, or otherwise affect Respondent's obligations to comply with all applicable provisions of the CWA, applicable CWA regulations, and/or any permits issued thereunder.
- 5.3. In accordance with Section 309(g)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.38(b), the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality has been given the opportunity to consult with EPA regarding the assessment of the administrative civil penalty against Respondent.
- 5.4. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(4)(A) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(A), EPA has published public notice of its intent to assess an administrative penalty against Respondent and to invite public comment in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.45. More than forty (40) days have elapsed since the issuance of this public notice, and EPA has received no petition to set aside the Consent Agreement contained herein.
  - 5.5. This Final Order shall become effective upon filing.

SO ORDERED this 23 day of September, 2009. THOMAS M. JAHNKE. Regional Judicial Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 9 DOCKET NO. CWA-2009-0172

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the original of the attached CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER in In the Matter of: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME, GRACE FISH HATCHERY, DOCKET NO.: CWA-10-2009-0172 was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk on September 23, 2009.

On September 24, 2009 the undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the document was delivered to:

Courtney Weber, Esquire
US Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue, ORC-158
Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101

Further, the undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the aforementioned document was placed in the United States mail certified/return receipt on September 24, 2009, to:

Thomas S. Frew Resident Hatcheries Supervisor Idaho Dept. of Fish & Game 600 S. Walnut Street Boise, ID 83707

Dallas Burkhalter Deputy Attorney General Idaho Department of Fish and Game 600 S. Walnut Street P.O. Box 25 Boise, Idaho 83707

DATED this 24th day of September 2009.

Carol Kennedy

Regional Hearing Clerk

EPA Region 10